

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,189	•	04/11/2001	Katsuhiko Mikoshiba	04853.0068	1135
22852	7590	09/22/2003		·	
FINNEGA	N, HEN	DERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER		
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW				TURNER, SHARON L	
WASHING	ron, do	20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1647 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/832,189	MIKOSHIBA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sharon L. Turner	1647,					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nc address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 f	February 2003						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-10$ is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)	8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1.	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
	·	ic priority under 35 O.S.C. 99 120	Janu/OFIZI.					
Attachment		A) 🗍 Interview Summer	u (PTO 413) Pañor No/o\					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8					

Application/Control Number: 09/832,189

Art Unit: 1647

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Improper Markush

- 2. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is pointed out that applicants have presented instant claims in improper Markush format, see Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, In re Weber, 198 USPQ 334 and MPEP 803.02 and 806.04. The claims are improperly set forth as the genus claims encompassing multiple products, as identified and claimed, fail to share the characteristics of a genus, i.e., a common utility and a substantial structural feature essential to the disclosed utility. Alternatively, the claims define multiple structurally distinct compounds capable of different use, with different modes of operation, different function and different effects. A reference against one of the claimed components or methods would not be a reference against the other. Therefore, the restriction will be set forth for each of the various groups, irrespective of the improper format of the claims, because the claims define inventions which are not proper species.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-4 in part drawn respectively to a peptide, classified for example in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claim 5-10 drawn in part to a polynucleotide, classified for example in class 536, subclass 23.1 and 24.1.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 5. Inventions I-II are related as products. The products are distinct each from the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,189

Art Unit: 1647

other as the products are comprised of divergent structure, effects and function, for example nucleic acids and peptides.

- 6. Furthermore, in addition to the election of one of the above VIII groups, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as set forth below to delineate the molecular embodiments to which the claims will be restricted in accordance with the elected group:
- 1.) A single designated peptide selected from; A) A truncated Reelin protein comprising an F-spondin domain and a CR-50 recognition site of a Reelin protein but containing no repeat site, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, B) a peptide of A that is Xenopus, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, C) a peptide of A that is mouse, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, D) SEQ ID NO:2, E) a deletion, substitution or addition of SEQ ID NO:2, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, F) SEQ ID NO:4 and G) a deletion, substitution or addition of SEQ ID NO:4, wherein the sequence is identified in the election.
- 2.) A single designated polynucleotide selected from; A) A DNA encoding a truncated Reelin protein comprising an F-spondin domain and a CR-50 recognition site of a Reelin protein but containing no repeat site, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, B) a DNA of A that is Xenopus, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, C) a peptide of A that is mouse, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, D) a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2, E) a DNA encoding a deletion, substitution or addition of SEQ ID NO:2, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, F) a DNA of SEQ ID NO:1, G) a DNA hybridizing to a nucleic acid probe that comprises a

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/832,189

Art Unit: 1647

sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 residues 1456-2273 or degenerates, H) a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:4, I) a DNA encoding a deletion, substitution or addition of SEQ ID NO:4, wherein the sequence is identified in the election, J) a DNA of SEQ ID NO:3 and K) a DNA hybridizing to a nucleic acid probe which comprises a sequence of SEQ ID NO:3 residues 2053-2758 or degenerates.

- 7. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 8. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products indicated in groups 1-2 constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of the polynucleotides and polypeptides has a unique structural feature which requires a unique search of the prior art. The inventions indicated as 1-2 differ in structure and function as they are composed of divergent nucleic and amino acids and are differentially able to hybridize, bind or mediate biological functions. A reference to one element would not constitute a reference to another. In addition, searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because the indicated searches are not coextensive. The search and examination will be limited to the extent of the elected (designated) subject matter.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In order to be fully responsive, Applicant is required to elect a single group from designated groups I-II and a single molecular embodiment from designated groups 1-2 to which the claims will be restricted, even though the requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that neither I-II nor 1-2 are species election requirements; rather each of I-II and 1-2 are restriction requirements. The subject matter for examination will be restricted to the extent of the subject matter of the elected groups.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,189

Art Unit: 1647

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

September 17, 2003